Fix Received September 27, 1995 8 9:00 1.m

CARRECTED

1	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS			
2	Before the			
3	FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20554	NC		
4	DO OK	ET FILE COF	ov OR	IGINAI
5	SOON!	ITTILL OOI	T VIII	MIN
6	IN THE MATTER OF: WT	DOCKET	NO.	95-26
7				
8	Commercial Realty St. Pete, Inc., James C. Hartley, Teresa Hartley,			
9	and Ralph E. Howe			
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24	DATE OF HEARING: September 13, 1995	VOLUME:	3	
25	PLACE OF HEARING: Washington, D.C. PA	GES: 43	- 4	8

1	Before the				
2	FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20554				
3	DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL				
4	In the matter of:				
5	j				
6	Commercial Realty St. Pete, Inc. ) Docket No. 95-26  James C. Hartley, Teresa Hartley, ) and Ralph E. Howe )				
7	)				
8	The above-entitled matter came on for hearing pursuant to Notice before Arthur I. Steinberg, Administrative				
9	Law Judge, at 2000 L Street, N.W., Washington, D.C., in Courtroom No.3, on Wednesday, September 13, at 9:30 a.m.				
10	APPEARANCES:				
11					
12	On behalf of Commercial Realty St. Pete, Inc.:				
13	LAUREN A. COLBY, ESQUIRE  10 East 4th Street Frederick, Maryland 21701				
14					
15	On behalf of the Wireless Telecommunications Bureau:				
16	JOSEPH PAUL WEBER, ESQUIRE TERRENCE E. REIDELER, ESQUIRE 1919 M Street, NW				
17	Washington, D.C. 20554				
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25	Hearing began: 9:34 a.m. Hearing Ended: 9:45 a.m.				

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	JUDGE STEINBERG: We're back on the record. During
3	the recess, let me ask Mr. Weber, have you had a chance to
4	talk to the people at the Bureau about the settlement
5	agreement?
6	MR. WEBER: Yes, I have, Your Honor.
7	JUDGE STEINBERG: And why don't you give us a brief
8	report, and then you can yeah, I guess you can summarize
9	what went on, because stuff went on before we went on the
10	record, so why don't you just summarize that?
11	MR. WEBER: Well, as I stated before we went on the
12	record, the Bureau was for the most part satisfied with the
13	outline we came up with yesterday. However, was not
14	necessarily completely satisfied with the idea that
15	Mr. Hartley could re-apply for licenses within a five-year
16	period of time. They wanted it to be a flat ten-year ban from
17	applying for FCC licenses or attending auctions. And because
18	the Bureau was going to ask for that concession and was
19	willing to forego in seeking any type of voluntary
20	contribution for Mr. or Mrs. Hartley or Commercial Realty, so
21	we're going to ask for a flat ten-year ban and not ask for the
22	\$10,000 voluntary contribution.
23	JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay, and Mr. Colby, Mr. Hartley
24	has agreed to that. Is that correct?
25	MR. COLBY: That's correct, we credistributed a

draft agreement of settlement, and in the discussion prior to
going on the record we discussed some editorial changes to the
draft agreement of settlement and I understand the Bureau will
also be going over the agreement of settlement making other
editorial changes and I guess hopefully we'll be able to
finalize the document by the end of the day today.

JUDGE STEINBERG: Now, what I'm going to do --

JUDGE STEINBERG: Now, what I'm going to do -again, I commend all of you for agreeing to do this. I have a
draft -- Mr. Colby gave me a draft of the settlement agreement
and I think it's just an excellent resolution to this, for
lack of a better term, this situation. I think it serves
Mr. Hartley well and I think it serves the government well.
And I think hopefully neither of you are 100-percent happy
with it, and -- but I'm not going to ask you.

Here's what I'm going to do. What I'll do is I'll give you 30 days to file the papers with the Commission. If you want to file them tomorrow that's fine, but I'll set a date by which the papers have to be filed. And actually it's 29 days because 30 days would be like Friday the 13th and I don't think we want to be filing stuff on Friday the 13th. So, I'm superstitious too. So, why don't we make the filing of the finalized settlement and the joint request due on Thursday, October 12th and -- but of course there's nothing to prevent you from filing them today or tomorrow if you want. Any problem with that?

1	MR. WEBER: No, Your Honor.
2	MR. COLBY: No, Your Honor.
3	JUDGE STEINBERG: And as I said yesterday, I don't
4	know if it was on the record or off the record, that I'll be
5	out of town next week in Detroit on a hearing and I'll be
6	back, you know, whenever that next Monday is, the 25th. If
7	something is ready for me to rule on, I'll rule on it that
8	week and maybe we can beat the train wreck if there is a train
9	wreck. But, you know, if you make the effort I'll make the
10	effort.
11	MR. COLBY: With that amount of time we should be
12	able to use wet signatures and not have to use any dry
13	signatures and I suggest that we do that, that we use wet
14	signatures. By that I mean signatures actually executed by
15	pen or other such device as opposed to facsimilies of
16	signatures.
17	JUDGE STEINBERG: Doesn't matter to me.
18	MR. COLBY: With that amount of time should
19	JUDGE STEINBERG: You know, whatever. Just depends
20	on, you know, like if you want this to be approved real
21	quickly then you have to do things real quickly, because I
22	know Mrs. Hartley isn't here.
23	MR. COLBY: If we can get something down and turned
24	back, one day down and one day back
25	JUDGE STEINBERG: I see. Okay.

1 MR. COLBY: In a real big hurry like we are in the 2 old five-day rule then I would normally receive a dry 3 signature, I would have signed something by fax and turned it back by fax. 5 JUDGE STEINBERG: Well, in the agreement there's 6 something about -- isn't it about that the joint request will 7 be filed within five days or something? 8 MR. COLBY: Yeah, because that's the five-day rule. 9 The rule says five days. 10 JUDGE STEINBERG: But that's -- isn't that 73.3525 -11 - that's in a broadcast case involving competing applications? 12 MR. COLBY: Well, that's true, that's true and, and 13 arguably that rule would not apply here. So, I think we need 14 to change that to say the joint motion will be filed on or 15 before --16 JUDGE STEINBERG: You can all tweak that later. 17 Yeah, I mean that's up to you guys. I mean, frankly I don't 18 really care about that portion of it except that, you know, 19 I'd like it to be a joint, a joint motion. And if you want to 20 attach to it or distribute to me like a draft of an order that 21 -- because I know when Mr. Peck was here we were talking about 22 language, language in an order that would accomplish the 23 purposes that everybody wanted to accomplish and I just -- you 24 know, if you want to decide between the parties what should be 25 in an order and just give me an outline of that and if -- you

```
|know, if I can live with it it'll be there. Because --
 2
              MR. COLBY: I thought about this quite a bit last
 3
   night.
              JUDGE STEINBERG: We should go off the record for
5
    this, I mean, because this is really thinking, not, you
6
    know --
 7
              (Whereupon, off the record.)
8
              (Whereupon, on the record.)
9
              JUDGE STEINBERG: We're back on the record, and I
10
    don't think there's anything more for us to do this morning.
11
    And as I said, I won't issue an order. We'll let the
12
    transcript speak for the date and -- you know, the date for
13
    filing the papers. And again, I want to thank you and I
14
    appreciate that you settled the case and I think it's a good
15
    deal all around. So, with that we -- I guess there's no
16
    record to close so we'll just go off the record I guess.
17
    Thank you.
18
              (Whereupon, the hearing concluded at 9:45 a.m., on
19
    September 13, 1995.)
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

## CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER, TRANSCRIBER, AND PROOFREADER

Commercial Realty

Name	
WT 95-26 Docket No.	
Washington, DC	-
Place	
September 13, 1995 Date	_ <del></del>
pages, numbers 43 thro true, accurate and complete reporting by Barbara Lord the above identified proceed provisions of the current Fe professional verbatim report Work and have verified the a comparing the typewritten tr recording accomplished at th	in attendance at ing, in accordance with applicable deral Communications Commission's ing and transcription Statement of ccuracy of the transcript by (1) anscript against the reporting or a proceeding and (2) comparing the anscript against the reporting or
7/26/95 Same	Mulwell , Transcriber
Date  James H.  Free Sta	Lowell , Transcriber te Reporting, Inc.
9/20/95 belle	e lerio
Date Debbie Se Free Sta	rio , Proofreader te Reporting, Inc.
9/26/95	J Lord
Date Barbara L Free Sta	ord , Reporter te Reporting, Inc.